
From: Vivian Krause
Subject: Letter and Attachment for Dr. David Suzuki
Date: 04 August, 2009 09:44:28 AM PDT
To: Jim Hoggan
Cc: Peter Robinson , Kirsten Brynelsen

Mr. Hoggan,

Ms. Yaxley did not reply to my e-mail of 29 July 2009.

As you can see below, the e-mail that I sent to Ms. Yaxley today has been returned to me as "undeliverable" or "blocked."

Would you be willing to ensure that Dr. Suzuki receives the letter and the attachment that I sent to him (with cc to you) on 29 July 2009?

Regards,
Vivian Krause

>From: "Mail Delivery System" <MAILER-DAEMON@ironport1.radiant.net>
>To: <vivian.krause@mac.com>
>Date: 04 August, 2009 09:30:09 AM PDT
>Subject: Delivery Status Notification (Failure)

>
>The following message to <elois@newdata.ca> was undeliverable.

>The reason for the problem:

>5.1.0 - Unknown address error 550-'Blocked\x00id'

>
>Ms. Yaxley,

>
>Would you mind please confirming that you received the e-mail below and were able to download the two attachments and give them to Dr. Suzuki?

>
>In advance, thank you.

>
>Sincerely,
>Vivian Krause

>
>On Wednesday, 29 July, 2009, at 04:20PM, "Vivian Krause" <vivian.krause@mac.com> wrote:

>>Ms. Yaxley,

>>
>>Please find attached a letter and a draft document for Dr. David Suzuki.
>>Is it possible for you to ensure that Dr. Suzuki personally receives these items?

>>
>>By courier, I will send Dr. Suzuki a CD with the files for the appendices (854 pages).

>>
>>Sincerely,
>>Vivian Krause

>>
>>On Monday, 15 June, 2009, at 11:54AM, "Jay Ritchlin" <jritchlin@davidsuzuki.org> wrote:

>>>Dear Ms. Krause,

>>>

>>>Thank you for your recent e-mails and attachments.

>>>

>>>Let me begin by saying that the science of food health and contamination
>>>risk is still evolving and continues to be an area of debate. There have
>>>been improvements to PCB levels in some regions and by some producers,
>>>as well as continuing causes for concern around the use and presence of
>>>various chemicals in farmed salmon. That said, the David Suzuki
>>>Foundation does not currently make this a sole centrepiece of our work
>>>and we place it in context of a wide range of health and ecological
>>>sustainability issues that seafood and aquaculture consumers must face.
>>>At the present time, we still believe that individuals can get a healthy
>>>diet from a variety of more sustainable products.

>>>

>>>The following link details some issues that continue to concern us
>>>around chemicals in salmon farming. It is a very thorough assessment of
>>>chemical use in global aquaculture that was undertaken by the Salmon
>>>Aquaculture Dialogue, a global group of industry and conservation
>>>stakeholders working to establish sustainability indicators for salmon
>>>farming. We are a member and work with industry representatives on these
>>>issues on a regular basis.

>>>

>>>[www.worldwildlife.org/what/globalmarkets/aquaculture/WWFBinaryitem8842.p](http://www.worldwildlife.org/what/globalmarkets/aquaculture/WWFBinaryitem8842.pdf)
>>>df

>>>

>>>As regards sea lice, we simply do not agree with your assessment of the
>>>situation. Nearly every stakeholder in the Pacific and global
>>>aquaculture debate has now acknowledged that sea lice are a serious
>>>issue and measures to address the risks must be undertaken. The science
>>>continues to evolve and support the need for precautionary action.
>>>Bodies like the Pacific Science Forum have reviewed all the evidence you
>>>cite, plus more, and recommended management action to address the risks.
>>>Industry members like Marine Harvest are negotiating with the Coastal
>>>Alliance for Aquaculture Reform to find both immediate, interim relief
>>>for wild salmon in the Broughton Archipelago through changing their farm
>>>management, as well as exploring long term solutions like closed
>>>containment.

>>>

>>>We plan to update our site with more new developments on these issues
>>>soon and to continue our direct engagement with industry members and
>>>regulators on long term, sustainable solutions for both capture
>>>fisheries and aquaculture.

>>>

>>>On a final note, when I review our correspondence over the past two
>>>years it is apparent that we continue to have a fundamental difference
>>>of opinion with respect to the science of sustainable aquaculture. For
>>>our part, we will continue to push for the types of industry reforms we
>>>feel are necessary to support healthy and productive wild salmon
>>>populations and a sustainable aquaculture industry on the West Coast.
>>>We may therefore have to simply agree to disagree on this matter.

>>>

>>>Sincerely,

>>>

>>>Jay Ritchlin

>>>Director, Marine and Freshwater Conservation

>>>David Suzuki Foundation

>>>

>>>Copy to:

>>>Dr. David Suzuki, c/o Elois Yaxley

>>>Jim Hoggan, Chair, David Suzuki Foundation Board of Directors

>>>Peter Robinson, CEO, David Suzuki Foundation

>>>Lisa Monzon, David and Lucile Packard Foundation

>>>Ed Cassano, Monterey Bay Aquarium/Seafood Watch

>>>

>>>

>>

>>

>

>